UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,356	08/01/2003	Pavel Kouznetsov	MSFT-2185/302760.2 3970		
41505 WOODCOCK	41505 7590 07/26/2007 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION)			· EXAMINER	
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR			NALVEN, ANDREW L		
2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2134		
		·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
·			07/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/632,356	KOUZNETSOV ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew L. Nalven	2134			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	ay 2007.				
	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sertion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		*			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
•					
Attachment(s)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/632,356 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 are pending.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 5/29/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. Applicant argues on page 8 that Stefik fails to teach "defining rights data for the copy of the document based on the rights information of the folder." Applicant supports this argument by asserting that Stefik teaches that digital works in the folder "are subject to their own rights (Stefik, column 11 lines 5-6). Examiner respectfully disagrees. The currently presented require only "defining rights data for the copy of the document based on the rights information of the folder." The currently provided claims do not restrict defining rights data on a per file basis in addition to on a per folder basis. Thus, Stefik meets the limitation "defining rights data for the copy of the document based on the rights information of the folder" (Stefik, column 11 lines 5-10) by teaching that rights defined for a folder define how the contents of the folder may be managed.

Art Unit: 2134

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 6-14, and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobata et al US PGPub 2002/0077985 in view of Stefik et al US Patent No. 6,714,921.
- 5. With regards to claims 1 and 13, Kobata teaches receiving the document into the folder (Kobata, paragraphs 0092, 0225-0226), receiving a request for the copy of the document (Kobata, paragraphs 0091-0092), defining rights data for the copy of the document (Kobata, paragraph 0090), publishing the copy of the document and the defined rights data thereof to result in a package with the rights data and the copy of the document encrypted according to a content key (KD) to result in a KD(copy) (Kobata, paragraphs 0092, 0097, 0099, 0225), and delivering the formed package to the requester (Kobata, paragraph 0096) whereby the rights data can be discovered upon the requester attempting to render the package and such discovery triggers a request for a corresponding license based on such rights data (Kobata, paragraph 0100), the license including KD therein in a form available to the requester to decrypt KD(copy) if the requester satisfies the rights data (Kobata, paragraph 0100). Kobata as modified fails to teach defining rights data based on rights information of the folder. However,

Application/Control Number: 10/632,356 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

Stefik teaches defining rights data based on rights information of the folder (Stefik, column 10 line 64 – column 11 line 9). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Stefik's folder rights method with Kobata's document protection system because it offers the advantage of providing a method of restricting usage to several files by providing usage restrictions to a single folder (Stefik, column 10 line 64 – column 11 line 9).

- 6. With regards to claims 2 and 14, Kobata as modified teaches obtaining the license on behalf of the requester and delivering the obtained license to the requester with the package (Kobata, paragraph 0096).
- 7. With regards to claims 6, 8, 18, and 20, Kobata teaches the folder has access controls being defined for each individual and/or for each group of individuals that may access the folder, and wherein defining the rights data comprises mapping the access controls for the folder into the rights data for the copy of the document (Stefik, column 10 line 64 column 11 line 9).
- 8. With regards to claims 7, 9, 19, and 21, Kobata teaches mapping the access controls for the folder into the rights data for the requester and also for all other individuals or groups of individuals specified in the access controls (Stefik, column 10 line 64 column 11 line 9), whereby the copy of the document with the rights data attached thereto can be distributed and redistributed to such other individuals and each such other individual can employ the rights data to obtain a license to render the document (Kobata, paragraph 0103).

Art Unit: 2134

- 9. With regards to claims 10 and 22-23, Kobata teaches copying substantially all of the rights template into the rights data for the copy of the document (Stefik, column 10 line 64 column 11 line 9).
- 10. With regards to claims 12 and 24, Kobata teaches receiving the document into the folder in a non-RM protected form whereby RM protection is applied to the copy of the document when the copy of the document is delivered to the requester (Kobata, paragraphs 0153-0154, Figure 9 Items 915).
- 11. Claims 3-5 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobata et al US PGPub 2002/0077985 and Stefik et al US Patent No. 6,714,921, as applied to claim 1 above, and in further view of Xiao US Patent No. 6,571,337.
- 12. With regards to claims 3 and 15, Kobata as modified teaches generating the content key (KD) (Kobata, paragraphs 0092, 0097, 0099, 0225), encrypting the copy of the document with KD to form KD(copy) (Kobata, paragraphs 0092, 0097, 0099, 0225), but fails to teach protecting KD(copy) to a rights management server by encrypting KD with a public key of the RM server to result in RM(KD), whereby only the RM server with a corresponding private key can apply the same to reveal KD. However, Xiao teaches protecting KD(copy) to a rights management server by encrypting KD with a public key of the RM server to result in RM(KD) (Xiao, column 4 lines 50-67), whereby only the RM server with a corresponding private key can apply the same to reveal KD (Xiao, column 4 lines 50-67, only clearance center can decrypt). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Xiao's

Art Unit: 2134

method of encrypting content keys because it offers the advantage of preventing unauthorized access to the content key and the data itself (Xiao, column 4 lines 57-67).

- 13. With regards to claims 4 and 16, Kobata as modified teaches the defined rights data signed by one of the RM server or the document store (Xiao, column 4 line 63 column 5 line 6).
- 14. With regards to claims 5 and 17, Kobata as modified teaches concatenating the rights data with PU-RM(KD) therein to KD(copy) to form the package (Xiao, column 5 lines 45-57, column 1 line 62 column 2 line 28).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,356

Art Unit: 2134

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Nalven whose telephone number is 571 272 3839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8-6, Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on 571 272 3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Nalven

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

7,20,07